

ICANN-African Group

Presented by: Sophia Bekele
NomCom, GNSO Policy
Update
Lisbon, 28 March 2007

- GNSO is one of ICANN's supporting Org (SO) as a **consultative and policy development body** responsible for policies relating to generic top-level domains.
- The GNSO consists of **6 constituencies** designed to represent the interest of different groups of stakeholders in generic names: gTLD Registries Registrars, Business and Commercial users, Intellectual Property interests, Internet Service and connectivity Providers, and Non-Commercial Users.
- The **views of the GNSO goes to ICANN board** and where the GNSO can achieve a consensus, the stakeholders view will have special force in guiding and shaping Board policy.

gTLDs:

- Agreement is reached in ICANN must implement a **process that allows the intro of new top-level domains**
- San Paulo meeting, then **f-t-f meeting in LA**
- Policy **statements is more focused** on implementation issues
- **formed sub working groups to deal** with specialized areas i.e RNs, IDNs, Protecting the right of others...

Reserve Name Category:

- Categories include:
 - ICANN and IANA related names
 - Single/two character labels
 - Names with hyphens in 3rd and 4th character positions (e.g “xn--ndk061n”)
- More work is needed regarding ICANN & IANA, discussion on report.
- Consensus not reached

IDNs: Intl Domain Names

- The committee supports the introduction of IDNs when technical testing completed
- Treated the same as any other new gTLD in the process
- IDNs do make implementation more complex
- GNSO IDN working group established to examine IDN issues further

Protecting Rights working group

- Established to address some of the concerns around registration processes at the second level that give some protection for legal rights especially during start-up of new gTLDs

- **Focus on the Purpose for introduction gTLDs in general....**

- Support the functional, geographic, and cultural diversity of the Internet by allowing globally distributed *communities* the opportunity to have their own hierarchy of names starting at the top level
- Accept that not all communities identify themselves with countries or by the original broad com/net/org categories
- Accepted outcomes of 1999 work taking into account experience with introducing new gTLDs so far
- Focussed on lessons learnt and creating a process for introducing new gTLDs
- ICANN mission and core values used to guide the work

Work Items covered for all these areas include:

String criteria

- (1) Must not be “confusingly similar” to an existing top-level domain
- (2) Must not cause any technical instability
- (3) Must not infringe the existing legal rights of others that are recognized or enforceable under generally accepted and internationally recognized principles of law
- Must not be a reserved word
- Categories include:
 - ICANN and IANA related names
 - Single/two character labels
 - Names with hyphens in 3rd and 4th character positions (e.g “xn--ndk061n”)
- Working group established to review existing lists at second level in gTLD agreements for application at the top level
- Strings must not be contrary to generally accepted legal norms relating to morality and public order

Applicant criteria

- (1) Applicants must be able to demonstrate their technical capability to run a registry operation
- (2) Applicants must be able to demonstrate their financial and organizational capability to fulfill all their obligations of a TLD operator
- (3) There must be no substantial opposition from among significant established institutions of the economic, geographic, cultural or language community for which the TLD string is intended to support

String contention

- Occurs when multiple valid applications for the same string or confusingly similar strings
- First encourage applicants to resolve amongst themselves
- Meetings amongst themselves
- Mediation (using a third party to help)
- Binding Arbitration
- If there are significant established institutions of the economic, geographic, cultural or language community for which the TLD string is intended to support – use a comparative evaluation process, otherwise use auction
- Additional fees from the applicants to cover costs for comparative evaluation
- If there are significant established institutions of the economic, geographic, cultural or language community for which the TLD string is intended to support – use a comparative evaluation process
- Additional fees from the applicants to cover costs

Complaint and dispute resolution

- The community will be able to raise issues associated with whether strings match the string criteria
- Technical disputes will be resolved within ICANN structure
- Where possible, issues outside of ICANN's core expertise will be referred to external dispute providers with appropriate expertise – decisions will be made with reference to internationally recognized principles of law

WHOIS:

- Define the purpose of the Whois service.
- Define the purpose of the Registered Name Holder, technical, and administrative contacts.
- Determine what data should be available to the public. Determine how to access the data that is not available for public access.
- Determine how to improve the process of notifying registrants of inaccurate Whois data, and the process of investigating and correcting inaccurate Whois data.
- Determine how to resolve conflicts between contractual Whois obligations and local/national privacy laws.
[complete]
- Final report of the TF recently published and sent to GNSO council
- Council to consider policy--can vote, modify or approve further work (e.g. implementation work)

NEXT STEP:

- **Seeking to finalize recommendations by May 2007 and produce the final "Final Report"**
- **Submit "Board Report" to the Board by early June 2007**
- **Available for the Board to consider at its meeting in Puerto Rico, 29 June 07**